



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/945,445	08/31/2001	Ian Moir	085710.P052	3570
7812	7590 04/05/2006	EXAMINER		
	LL AND BEDELL, P.O	STRANGE, AARON N		
16100 NW CORNELL ROAD, SUITE 220 BEAVERTON, OR 97006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2153	
•		DATE MAILED: 04/05/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Edermine from rany be ambitine used the provided used for the provided will be apply and well expire \$1K (6) MOXITIS from the malting date of this communication of the provided by		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Aron Strange	Office Action Summers	09/945,445	MOIR, IAN				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address—Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. E-beneticus of time may be available under the provision and 37 CPR 1.18(6). In the overt, nower, may a reply be limely filled. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will apply a 15 (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Falluls to reply within the set of calended period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and apply apply and apply and apply apply and apply apply apply apply apply apply apply apply and apply ap	Oπice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Exercisions of innormy be available under the potrolitors of 37 CFR 1.356(s). In or event, however, may a registy be limitly (10) and the first innormy be available under the potrolitors of 37 CFR 1.356(s). In or event, however, may a registy be limitly (10) and the first innormy of the provision of 37 CFR 1.356(s). In or event, however, may a registy be limitly (10) and the first innormy of 11 to period to reply whith it is not or extended period for reply will, by a fatilities, cause the application to become APANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Fallure to reply whithin the set or extended period for reply will, by a fatilities, cause the application to become APANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Fallure to reply whithin the set or extended profit of 70 cff (10) and the first innormalization, even if timely filed, may reduce any source platest term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). - Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 30 December 2005. - Za) □ This action is FINAL. - 2b) □ This action is FINAL. - 2b) □ This action is fill and the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) <u>53.55-74.77.79-98 and 101-104</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)is/are allowed. - (a) □ Claim(s) <u>53.55-74.77.79-98 and 101-104</u> is/are rejected. - (b) □ Claim(s)is/are allowed. - (claim(s)is/are objected to by the Examiner. - (c		-					
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Edeniated from remy be waited under the provided of 30° FR 1.1360, his no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after 1X (6) MOSTITS from the mailing date of this communication. Failus to reply which the set of vended private for reply visible provided by the Communication. Failus to reply visible the set of vended private for reply visible provided by the Communication. Part of the provided by the Communication (s) filed on 30 December 2005. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 53.55-74.77.79-98 and 101-104 is/are pending in the application. 4) Of the above claim(s) ☐ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ is/are allowed. 7) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ is/are objected to by the Examiner. 8) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 ☐ △Aknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ☐ . 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application from the International Bureau (FCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Offi	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 December 2005. 2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)□ Claim(s) 53.55-74,77.79-98 and 101-104 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9)□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12)□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1)□ Notice of Breferences Cited (PTO-892)	 WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any 						
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 53.55-74.77,79-98 and 101-104 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * ○) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Status						
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 53.55-74.77,79-98 and 101-104 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * ○) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 De	ecember 2005.					
3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) <u>53,55-74,77,79-98 and 101-104</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		<u> </u>					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 53.55-74.77.79-98 and 101-104 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 30 ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/8) 51 ☐ Notice of Informati Patent Application (PTO-152)							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 53.55-74.77.79-98 and 101-104 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 53.55-74.77.79-98 and 101-104 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•	nending in the application					
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Advice of References Cited (PTO-892) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
6) ☐ Claim(s) 53.55-74,77,79-98 and 101-104 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some or None or	· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ··						
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	· _	<u></u>					
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some colonic None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Breferences Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	,— ,						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Application Papers						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)							
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informat Patent Application (PTO-152)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 1 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 1. Notice of Informat Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informat Patent Application (PTO-152)							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Informat Patent Application (PTO-152)		•	d in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	a				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)	parties.					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
		6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2153

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 53 and 77 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 53-56, 72-74, 77-80,96-98 and 101-104 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hawkinson (US 6,295, 532) in view of Salkewicz (US 6,609,153).
- 4. With regard to claim 53, Hawkinson discloses a method of managing network traffic being routed through a network connection device, the network connection device having a first set of operations, the network traffic being composed of at least one data packet, and the method comprising:
 - (b) receiving a rule program at the network connection device (table set)(Col 13, Lines 60-65), the rule program including at least:

Art Unit: 2153

- (i) a first criterion for identifying the traffic flow to which a data packet belongs (Col 4, Lines 34-36),
- (ii) a second criterion for classifying a traffic flow as belonging to one of at least first (level 1) and second traffic flow (level 2) classes (flow class is determined) (Col 4, Lines 36-37 and Col 5, Lines 28-30),
- (iii) first and second instructions for processing a data packet, the first and second instructions being associated with the first and second flow classes respectively (each flow class receives different Qos) (Col 5, Lines 38-39),
- (d) receiving a first data packet that belongs to the first traffic flow at the network connection device (Col 5, Lines 28-30),
- (e) using the first criterion to determine that the first data packet belongs to the first traffic flow (Col 5, Lines 28-30),
- (f) using the second criterion to determine the traffic flow class to which the first traffic flow belongs (Col 5, Lines 28-30, and
- (g) processing the first data packet according to the instructions associated with the flow class to which the first traffic flow belongs (Col 5, Lines 30-41).

Hawkinson fails to specifically disclose instantiating a virtual machine on the network connection device, having a set of operations that is a sub-set of the first set of operations, executing the rule program to configure the network connection device, and managing steps (d) through (g) using the virtual machine.

Salkewicz discloses a similar system for managing network traffic using a network connection device. Salkewicz teaches instantiating virtual machines on the netwoek connection device, each virtual machine having a limited instruction set (each machine may be a router, switch, bridge, etc) (Col 11, Lines 7-9), executing a program on the virtual machine to configure the network connection device (Col 11, Lines 2-7, 22-30, and 37-42), and managing traffic with the virtual machine (Col 11, Lines 22-26). Using virtual machines to manage traffic would have been an advantageous addition to the system disclosed by Hawkinson since they provide greater isolation between networks (Hawkinson, Col 11, Lines 34-36), can be configured to have nearly any functionality supported by the network connection device on which they operate (Hawkinson, Col 11, Lines 7-9), and a single network connection device can perform traffic management for multiple networks using a plurality of independent virtual machines.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use virtual machines on the network connection device to manage network traffic, since they may be configured for specialized operations and can operate independently of each other, providing network isolation, even when running on a single network connection device.

5. With regard to claim 55, Hawkinson further discloses that step (e) comprises comparing a first section of the first data packet to the first criterion to determine that the first data packet belongs to the first traffic flow and step (f) comprises comparing a

Art Unit: 2153

second section of the first data packet to the second criterion to determine the traffic flow class to which the first traffic flow belongs, wherein the second section may include at least part of the first section (data unit headers are inspected to determine flow/class of data unit)(Col 4, Lines 34-36).

- 6. With regard to claim 56, Hawkinson further discloses receiving supplemental data pertaining to the first traffic flow, wherein the supplemental data is received outside of the first traffic flow and step (f) further comprises comparing the supplemental data to the second criterion to determine the class to which the first traffic flow belongs (desired QOS is used to generate a flow classification for an unclassified flow) (Col 5, Lines 41-46).
- 7. With regard to claim 72, Hawkinson further discloses that the first and second instructions pertain to any one of routing, switching, or bridging the network traffic (Col 5, Lines 28-46).
- 8. With regard to claim 73, Hawkinson further discloses that the first traffic flow originated at a network device (packets are received) (Col 4, Line 66 to Col 5, Line 1) and the method further comprises the step of communicating information regarding the first data packet to the network device (TCP packets are supported and TCP packets are acknowledged) (Col 14, Lines 28-49).

Art Unit: 2153

one of the first

Page 6

- 9. With regard to claim 74, Hawkinson further discloses that at least one of the first and second criteria and the first and second instructions are provided by a network administrator (Col 14, Lines 29-33).
- 10. Claims 77,79,80 and 96-98 are rejected under the same rationale as claims 53,55,56 and 72-74, since they recite substantially identical subject matter.
- 11. With regard to claims 101 and 102, Salkewicz further discloses indicating which operations from the instruction set of the virtual machine are to be used in carrying out the first and second instructions (programs executed by the virtual machine to implement its policies necessarily indicate which instructions to use)(Col 11, Lines 2-9, 28-30, 37-42).
- 12. With regard to claims 103 and 104, Hawkinson discloses a method of managing network traffic being routed through a network connection device, the network connection device having a first set of operations, the network traffic being composed of at least one data packet, and the method comprising:
 - (b) receiving a rule program at the network connection device (table set)(Col 13, Lines 60-65), the rule program including at least:
 - (i) a first criterion for identifying the traffic flow to which a data packet belongs (Col 4, Lines 34-36),

Art Unit: 2153

- (ii) a second criterion for classifying a traffic flow as belonging to one of at least first (level 1) and second traffic flow (level 2) classes (flow class is determined) (Col 4, Lines 36-37 and Col 5, Lines 28-30),
- (iii) first and second instructions for processing a data packet, the first and second instructions being associated with the first and second flow classes respectively (each flow class receives different Qos) (Col 5, Lines 38-39),
- (d) receiving a first data packet that belongs to the first traffic flow at the network connection device (Col 5, Lines 28-30),
- (e) using the first criterion to determine that the first data packet belongs to the first traffic flow (Col 5, Lines 28-30),
- (f) using the second criterion to determine the traffic flow class to which the first traffic flow belongs (Col 5, Lines 28-30, and
- (g) processing the first data packet according to the instructions associated with the flow class to which the first traffic flow belongs (Col 5, Lines 30-41).

Hawkinson fails to specifically disclose instantiating a virtual machine on the network connection device, executing the rule program containing a list of a second set of operations to configure the network connection device, and managing steps (d) through (g) using the virtual machine using only the operations in the second set of operations.

Salkewicz discloses a similar system for managing network traffic using a network connection device. Salkewicz teaches instantiating virtual machines on the

Application/Control Number: 09/945,445 Page 8

Art Unit: 2153

network connection device (Col 11, Lines 7-9), executing a program on the virtual machine to configure the network connection device (Col 11, Lines 2-7, 22-30, and 37-42), and managing traffic with the virtual machine (Col 11, Lines 22-26) using only instructions specified in the program (the virtual machine behaves according to its configured functionality and will not execute unsupported instructions, i.e. bridge, switch, router, etc). Using virtual machines to manage traffic would have been an advantageous addition to the system disclosed by Hawkinson since they provide greater isolation between networks (Hawkinson, Col 11, Lines 34-36), can be configured to have nearly any functionality supported by the network connection device on which they operate (Hawkinson, Col 11, Lines 7-9), and a single network connection device can perform traffic management for multiple networks using a plurality of independent virtual machines.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use virtual machines on the network connection device to manage network traffic, since they may be configured for specialized operations and can operate independently of each other, providing network isolation, even when running on a single network connection device.

13. Claims 57-71 and 81-95 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hawkinson (US 6,295, 532) in view of Salkewicz (US 6,609,153) in further view of Official Notice.

14. With regard to claims 57, and 59-71, while Hawkinson and Salkewicz show substantial features of the claimed invention (discussed above), including that classes are defined using any number of various parameters (CoI 10, Lines 25-34), it fails to specifically disclose that the supplemental data comprises data concerning access requirements, access rights, traffic conditions, data from a device registry, a work group identifier, or physical characteristics of the network device.

Page 9

The Examiner takes Official Notice that the use of supplemental data to identify the flow of a data packet was old and well known in the art at the time the invention was made and would have merely been a matter of personal preference of the system administrator, depending on the parameters they wished to use to classify flows. Hawkinson discloses that any parameters could be used to define classes, and it would have been advantageous to use the parameters desired by the system designer to classify flows based on the system requirements.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use any known parameters to classify the flows in order to control the QoS provided to flows based on those parameters.

- 15. Claims 81 and 83-95 are rejected under the same rationale as claims 59-71, since they recite substantially identical limitations.
- 16. With regard to claims 58 and 82, Hawkinson further discloses that the first and second instruction specify respective first and second bandwidth allocations (allocated

Art Unit: 2153

bandwidth depends on flow class) (Col 19/20, Lines 27-28).

Conclusion

17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aaron Strange whose telephone number is 571-272-3959. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glen Burgess can be reached on 571-272-3949. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AS 3/24/2006

WILLIAM C. VAUGHN, JR.
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 10